

Bimodal Temporal Distribution of Herpes Explains Resistant Cases to Oral Antiviral Agents

K.L. Gaishauser^{1,*}C.G. Burkhart^{2,3}

¹Department of Medicine, University of Toledo College of Medicine, Toledo, OH, USA ²Clinical Professor, University of Toledo College of Medicine, Toledo, OH, USA ³Clinical Assistant Professor, Ohio University College of Osteopathic Medicine, Toledo, OH, USA

Abstract: Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) is a double-stranded virus that affects the skin and mucous membranes. There has been a long-standing dogma stating that the virus remains dormant and is reactivated from the dorsal root ganglia. However, more recent studies have established that there is a secondary mode of viral reactivation from the epidermis itself. These two distinct reactivation patterns help explain why prophylactic antivirals do not consistently prevent herpes outbreaks.

Keywords: Herpes, Antiviral agents, HSV, Biomodal temporal, Antiviral agents, Mucous membranes.

Article History	Received: June 28, 2018	Revised: December 20, 2018	Accepted: January 14, 2019

1. INTRODUCTION

Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) is a common disease that belongs to the Herpesviridae family and primarily affects the skin and mucous membranes. Initial infection with HSV has been shown to occur via entry to human keratinocytes utilizing the nectin-1 receptor [1]. After the primary HSV infection, the virus enters an extended dormant phase within the dorsal root ganglia, during which the patient does not show signs or symptoms of the disease [2]. Current dogma states that recurrent clinical symptoms of herpes simplex virus are the result of the activation of latent viral genome within the dorsal root ganglia. The virus is stimulated to induce replication and subsequent anterograde transport of the virus along the peripheral sensory nerve to the cutaneous or mucosal surface. This, in turn, results in the production of vesicles on skin and mucosal surfaces [2].

2. BIMODAL TEMPORAL REACTIVATION PATTERN

The reactivation of the herpes labialis virus, a type of infection caused by HSV most commonly seen on lips, has been shown to have a bimodal temporal distribution by researchers [3, 4]. In one study, subjects with sun-induced herpes labialis were exposed to ultraviole radiation (UVR) on three different occasions over 3-to-4 month intervals. Of 33 total UVR induced lesions, 21% were "immediate" lesions, meaning they developed within 48 hours of exposure to UVR.

The other 79% of lesions were "delayed" lesions, meaning they developed 3-7 days after UVR exposure [4]. In a second study, 98 placebo subjects were exposed to UVR and consequently, 39 subjects (40%) developed delayed lesions and 11 subjects (26%) developed immediate lesions [3]. It is also noted that in these studies, the immediate lesions did not have any preceding symptoms, whereas the delayed lesions were prefaced by pain and altered sensation before the vesicles appeared. This discrepancy in lesion appearance time and associated symptoms indicates that different processes cause the immediate and delayed nature of the lesions.

Natural pathogenesis of herpes labialis reaction shows that it takes 3 to 5 days to be transported from the dorsal root ganglia to the epithelial surfaces, which accounts for the delayed reaction lesions [5]. One current hypothesis states that the immediate lesions can be accounted for by the fact that herpes simplex virus DNA can be isolated from the skin of fully healed recurrent lesions by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) [2]. HSV has also been shown to have the ability to remain locally dormant in a 3-dimensional raft culture within human keratinocytes, as well as within the parenchyma and stratified squamous epithelium of various other organs. The 'skin trigger' hypothesis [6] states that HSV periodically sheds into the epithelium, and thus a change in the resistance of the epithelium (for example, exposure to UVR) is what allows lesions to develop.

^{*} Address Correspondence to this author at the Department of Medicine, University of Toledo College of Medicine, 2534 W. Village Drive, Toledo, OH 43614; Tel: (330)-421-2393; E-mail: korina.gaishauser@rockets.utoledo.edu

3. TREATMENT

Prophylactic treatment using oral antiviral agents has proven to be successful in treating delayed lesions [3, 4]. It has been shown that prophylactic oral acyclovir prevented the development of delayed lesions when administered either 5 minutes after UVR exposure or 7 days before exposure.

However, the same prophylactic treatment had no effect on the prevention of immediate lesions. One study attempted to treat subjects exposed to UVR with topical 5% acyclovir (ACV) cream beginning immediately after exposure, which showed no reduction in the frequency of delayed or immediate lesions [3].

CONCLUSION

Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) is a common disease that is spread via contact with mucous membranes. The theory of HSV reactivation has recently been expanded upon to include not only latency and reactivation from the dorsal root ganglia but from the epidermal cells themselves.

These two different centers of reactivation lead to both immediate and delayed herpes lesions. This 'skin trigger' hypothesis helps explain why prophylactic oral antivirals such as acyclovir do not work on immediate HSV lesions. However, there remains a need for further investigation into topical agents for the prevention of immediate HSV lesions.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals/humans were used for studies that are the basis

Gaishauser and Burkhart

of this study.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

 Sayers CL, Elliott G. Herpes Simplex Virus 1 enters human keratinocytes by a nectin-1-dependent, rapid plasma membrane fusion pathway that functions at low temperature. J Virol 2016; 90(22): 10379-89.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01582-16] [PMID: 27630229]

- [2] Miura S, Smith CC, Burnett JW, Aurelian L. Detection of viral DNA within skin of healed recurrent herpes simplex infection and erythema multiforme lesions. J Invest Dermatol 1992; 98(1): 68-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1523-1747.ep12495372] [PMID: 1309462]
- [3] Spruance SL, Freeman DJ, Stewart JC, et al. The natural history of ultraviolet radiation-induced herpes simplex labialis and response to therapy with peroral and topical formulations of acyclovir. J Infect Dis 1991; 163(4): 728-34.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/163.4.728] [PMID: 1849159]
- [4] Spruance SL, Kriesel JD, Evans TG, McKeough MB. Susceptibility to herpes labialis following multiple experimental exposures to ultraviolet radiation. Antiviral Res 1995; 28(1): 57-67.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0166-3542(95)00038-N] [PMID: 8585760]
 [5] Maratou E, Theophilidis G, Arsenakis M. Axonal transport of herpes simplex virus-1 in an *in vitro* model based on the isolated sciatic nerve of the frog Rana ridibunda. J Neurosci Methods 1998; 79(1): 75-8.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0270(97)00166-0] [PMID: 9531462]
- [6] Hill TJ, Blyth WA. An alternative theory of herpes-simplex recurrence and a possible role for prostaglandins. Lancet 1976; 1(7956): 397-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(76)90220-8] [PMID: 55654]

© 2019 Gaishauser and Burkhart.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.